





Natural Environment Research Council

CEH Lancaster Archive Copy Please do NOT remove Not for Igan

## BIRDS AND POLLUTION

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT T07061f5 Annual report to Nature Conservancy Council

## BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part	1	Organochlorines a	nd mercury	in	predatory b	irds, 1990-91
	2	Organochlorines a	nd mercury	in	peregrine e	ggs, 1990
	3	Organochlorines a	nd mercury	in	merlin eggs	, 1990
	4	Organochlorines a	nd mercury	in	golden eagl	e eggs, 1990
	5	Organochlorines a	nd mercury	in	gannet eggs	, 1990
	6	Rodenticides in b	arn owls			

I NEWTON, A ASHER, P FREESTONE, M C FRENCH, J WRIGHT & I WYLLIE

Monks Wood Experimental Station Abbots Ripton Huntingdon Cambs PE17 2LS



CONTENTS

		Page
1	ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN PREDATORY BIRDS, 1989	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Results	1
2	ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN PEREGRINE EGGS, 1989	
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Results	9
	2.3 Reference	9
3	ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN MERLIN EGGS, 1989	
	3.1 Introduction	11
	3.2 Results	11
	3.3 Reference	11
4	ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN GOLDEN EAGLE EGGS, 1963-86	
	4.1 Introduction	13
	4.2 Results	13
	4.3 Reference	13
5.	ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN GANNET EGGS, 1989	
-	5.1 Introduction	15
	5.2 Results	15
	5.3 Reference	15
6	RODENTICIDES IN BARN OWLS	
	6.1 Introduction	19
	6.2 Results	19
	6.2 Reference	19

Page

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT T07061f5 Annual report to Nature Conservancy Council

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 1 Organochlorines and mercury in predatory birds, 1990-91

I NEWTON, A ASHER, I WYLLIE, P FREESTONE, M C FRENCH & J WRIGHT

Monks Wood Experimental Station Abbots Ripton Huntingdon Cambs PE17 2LS



## 1 ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN PREDATORY BIRDS, 1990-91

## 1.1 Introduction

The main objective of this work was to analyse the carcasses of predatory birds, supplied by members of the public, in order to continue the monitoring of organochlorine and metal residues in livers. The chemicals of interest included DDE (from the insecticide DDT), HEOD (from the insecticides aldrin and dieldrin), PCBs (poly-chlorinated biphenyls from industrial products) and Hg (mercury from agricultural and industrial sources). Throughout this section the levels of organochlorines are given as ppm in wet weight and of mercury as ppm in dry weight.

The main species involved included the sparrowhawk and kestrel, representing the terrestrial environment, and the fish-eating heron, kingfisher and great-crested grebe, representing the aquatic environment. The findings from various other species received during the year are also included.

### 1.2 Results

During the past year, the livers from 201 birds were analysed, including those from 42 kestrels, 95 sparrowhawks, 34 herons, 8 kingfishers, 3 great-crested grebes and 30 others. These totals included some birds which had died in earlier years, but which were analysed in 1990. The results from all these birds are listed in Table 1, and the geometric means for each chemical from the main species (1990 specimens only) are given in Table 2.

Birds of note include two kestrels (both from eastern counties) which contained more than 10 ppm DDE, nine sparrowhawks (mostly from eastern counties) which contained more than 20 ppm DDE, and a sparrowhawk from Powys which contained more than 9 ppm HEOD. The four merlins (from Orkney and the northern Scottish mainland) contained high levels of mercury (including a record 27 ppm), as did a peregrine from Orkney.

Eight significant differences in geometric mean values were found between the 1990 and 1989 results, out of 20 comparisons (Table 3). These included a decrease in PCB levels in all species and an increase in Hg levels in three species. It is impossible to say whether these differences reflected real changes in exposure but the increase in Hg in merlin is probably due to the northerly bias to the current years sample.

Table 1.	mercı preda	ls of organochl ary (ppm in dry atory birds ana n 1991.	weig lysed	ght) 1 bet	in the l	ivers c il 1990	of		
Spec. no.	Date found	County	Age	Sex	pp'-DDE	HEOD	PCBs	Hg	
Kestrel	( <u>Falco</u>	tinnunculus)							
10073 10074 10072 10146 10075 10010 9885 9909 9912 9916 10231 9956 9973 9978 9984 9985 10002 10078 100078 100078 100079 10016 10017 10020 10030 10031 10042 10053 10042 10053 10098 10152 10147 10162 10178 10190 10208 10211 10201 10214 10216 10237	Nov 85 Nov 85 Dec 87 Apr 89 Jul 89 Feb 90 Feb 90 Feb 90 Feb 90 Apr 90 Apr 90 Apr 90 Apr 90 Jul 90 Jul 90 Jul 90 Jul 90 Jul 90 Jul 90 Aug 90 Aug 90 Aug 90 Aug 90 Aug 90 Oct 90 Nov 90 Dec 90 Dec 90 Dec 90 Jan 91 Jan 91	Argyll Argyll Caithness Aberdeens Dorset Humberside - Cambs Aberdeen Inverness Orkney Lincs Norfolk Lincoln Beds Sussex Norfolk Norfolk Surrey Devon Warwicks - Aberdeens Aberdeens Lincs York Surrey Gwynedd Northants Cambs Essex Herts Essex Essex Westmorland Lincs Galloway Ayrshire Argyll Northants	JAJ – JJJAJJJAAJJAAJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJAAJJJ	F	0.11 0.01 0.04 ND 1.43 0.64 0.59 0.61 1.26 0.03 1.03 0.05 0.15	0.21 ND ND 0.15 0.10 0.24 0.03 0.46 0.18 0.05 0.63 0.04 0.76 0.16	2.75 0.36 0.33 0.36 2.41 18.73 0.87 2.41 2.45 1.38 0.51 2.25 2.32 1.75		
10275	Feb 91	Suffolk	J	F	13.35	1.63	2.93	1.60	

Continued.....2

3

Sparrowhawk	(Accipiter	nisus)
-------------	------------	--------

of merican			,						
10069	Apr	85	Argyll	J	М	1.45	0.15	2.62	5.39
10071	Sep	86	Argyll	J	М	0.46	0.11	95.73	5.88
10070	Sep	88	N'berland	J	М	0.06	0.01	0.32	2.63
10077	Nov		Norfolk	J	М	16.92	0.22	3.37	4.45
10018		89	Gwynedd	Ā	М	0.78	0.11	1.14	4.28
9888	Apr	89	Midlothian	J	М	2.68	ND	9.13	1.80
9887	Sep	89	Fife	J	F	0.37	ND	ND	0.93
9889	Sep	89	Midlothian	J	M	0.84	ND	ND	2.21
9950	UUP	90	Kirkcuds	J	F	2.25	0.09	0.47	8.83
9987		90	Somerset	Ā	F	9.57	1.76	9.91	9.07
10226	Jan	90	Ross & Crom	J	F	0.17	ND	0.18	1.46
9919	Feb	90	Bucks	J	М	0.93	0.19	1.40	3.33
9920	Feb	90	Herefords	Ĵ	F	10.02	0.47	0.44	2.06
10179	Feb	90	Cambs	J	F	20.92	0.36	74.68	1.05
10229	Feb	90	E. Ross	J	M	0.39	0.03	1.02	4.63
9925	Mar	90	Devon	Ā	М	0.61	0.27	0.56	5.59
9932	Mar	90	Northants	A	F	0.76	0.17	2.77	2.68
9933	Mar	90	Northants	A	F	1.46	0.09	0.29	2.44
9944	Mar	90	Norfolk	J	М	2.97	1.12	0.63	2.80
9945	Mar	90	E. Lothian	J	F	22.17	2.65	5.05	3.79
9962	Mar	90	Herts	J	F	1.19	0.17	0.07	3.32
9964	Mar	90	Sutherland	J	М	0.68	0.16	2.79	6.36
9976	Mar	90	Grampian	J	F	6.90	0.22	3.54	5.01
9992	Mar	90	Kent	J	М	25.55	0.64	2.88	3.60
9994	Mar	90	-	А	F	81.10	2.25	13.84	2.48
9966	Apr	90	Derbyshire	J	М	0.19	0.09	0.52	2.60
9971	Apr	90	Powys	А	F	31.51	9.10	13.32	7.65
9979	Apr	90	Hants	A	F	0.28	0.09	1.33	4.86
10138	Apr	90	Dumfries	А	М	30.68	1.11	25.32	11.79
9996	May	90	Herefords	А	М	5.33	0.66	2.93	1.49
10057	May		Midlothian	J	F	0.16	0.04	1.92	2.04
10158	May		Northants	А	F	0.35	0.06	0.20	1.43
10160	May		Northants	А	$\mathbf{F}$	0.80	0.27	0.68	1.38
10046	Jun		Glos	J	М	0.45	0.07	0.49	5.36
10029	Jul	90	Gwynedd	А	F	7.40	0.31	6.40	7.44
10032	Aug	90	Cambs	J	F	0.22	0.05	1.26	0.94
10040	Aug	90	Sussex	J	F	0.17	0.04	0.20	ND
10041	Aug		Yorks	J	F	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.26
10043	Aug		Norfolk	J	М	0.10	0.08	0.13	0.36
10047	Aug		Herts	J	F	0.05	0.02	1.49	1.07
10049	Aug	90	Leics	J	М	0.22	0.02	0.45	0.76
10050	Aug	90	Lincs	J	F	0.15	ND	0.69	1.01
10065	Aug	90	Argyll	A	F	3.87	0.52	5.78	3.45
10066	Aug	90	Argyll	J	F	1.34	0.21	3.54	4.30
10067	Aug		Argyll	J	F	0.05	0.09	1.42	3.59
10086	Aug	90	-	J	F	0.12	0.03	0.64	2.07
10107	Aug	90	Gwynedd	J	F	0.05	0.02	0.34	2.16
10227	Aug	90	Inverness	J	F	0.16	0.03	0.71	2.20

Continued.....3

10228	Aug 90	E. Ross	J	F	0.09	ND	0.20	2.14
10076	Sep 90	Lancs	J	F	0.07	0.02	1.54	2.93
10082	Sep 90	Leics	J	F	0.29	0.02	1.25	1.06
10084	Sep 90	Bucks	J	F	0.76	0.10	7.49	0.81
10088	-	Yorks	J	M	0.41	0.13	1.15	3.38
	~		-			0.02	0.58	0.69
10094	Sep 90	Glos	J	F	0.10			
10096	Sep 90	Surrey	J	F	0.29	0.02	0.55	1.53
10115	Sep 90	Northants	J	$\mathbf{F}$	0.37	0.01	0.43	1.10
10130	Sep 90	Norfolk	-	М	14.29	0.75	2.74	2.38
10175	Sep 90	Argyll	J	F	0.09	0.03	1.10	3.49
10103	Oct 90	Somerset	J	М	0.27	0.02	0.47	0.89
10109	Oct 90	Berks	J	F	0.68	0.12	5.51	0.65
10110	Oct 90	Essex	J	F	3.75	0.17	3.08	0.89
10112	Oct 90	N'berland	J	F	0.47	0.13	2.21	2.34
10113	Oct 90	Lincs	A	Ň	21.53	0.73	22.76	13.35
10113	Oct 90	Surrey	J	M	9.31	0.93	14.18	4.08
					0.21	ND	6.75	3.53
10143	Oct 90	Ayrshire	J	F				
10238	Oct 90	Leics	A	M	5.63	0.31	8.86	0.75
10137	Nov 90	Yorks	J	F	2.44	0.55	10.66	1.42
10155	Nov 90	Aberdeen	J	$\mathbf{F}$	0.18	ND	2.50	ND
10156	Nov 90	Glos	J	М	1.89	0.13	2.64	2.94
10161	Nov 90	Lincs	J	М	0.91	0.55	0.11	0.53
10166	Nov 90	Northants	А	F	8.65	1.33	9.21	0.39
10169	Nov 90	Northants	J	М	0.20	ND	0.32	1.15
10177	Nov 90	Dumbartons	A	М	0.59	0.07	2.69	0.53
10184	Nov 90	Essex	J	F	26.63	0.38	9.53	3.01
10188	Nov 90	Northants	J	F	3.59	0.15	4.01	0.92
10182	Dec 90	Bucks	J	M	4.44	0.24	6.81	1.94
10182	Dec 90	Cambs	J	M	0.58	0.13	0.41	0.45
					5.73	0.14	0.73	3.71
10218	Dec 90	Aberdeens	J	F				
10239	Dec 90	Worcs	J	F	6.29	0.10	2.11	1.88
10245	Dec 90	Herts	J	М	0.26	0.04	0.64	0.73
10203	Jan 91	Yorks	J	F	0.15	0.09	0.69	0.59
10215	Jan 91	Lincs	А	F	10.88	1.00	7.22	7.87
10221	Jan 91	Berks	A	F	2.91	0.20	6.66	0.35
10236	Jan 91	Lincs	A	F	4.44	0.08	1.42	2.06
10240	Jan 91	Peebles	J	М	10.23	0.34	6.26	3.49
10242	Jan 91	Lancs	Ĵ	М	0.27	0.06	1.67	1.84
10255	Jan 91	Oxon	Ā	M	1.46	0.05	1.23	0.33
10259	Jan 91	Inverness	- -	F	2.22	0.14	2.12	3.65
			J	F	0.19	ND	0.29	2.24
10257		Perth					27.23	4.44
10258	Feb 91	Lincs	A	F	12.34	0.41		
10272	Feb 91	Kent	А	F	26.80	0.44	33.55	2.53
10282	Feb 91	Worcs	J	М	0.75	0.06	0.89	3.00
10285	Mar 91	Stirling	J	М	1.04	0.11	4.17	ND
10286	Mar 91	Glos	J	F	5.10	0.14	1.46	0.73
10288	Mar 91	Yorks	J	М	10.25	0.99	32.74	9.52

Continued.....4

Peregrine Falcon (Falco peregrinus)

10009 10284 10261	Mar 90 Jan 91 Feb 91	Shetland Orkney Ayrshire	J J J	M M M	0.67 4.84 0.60	0.28 2.12 0.08	2.41 19.48 1.09	7.55 14.00 3.82
Merlin	( <u>Falco</u> co	olumbarius)						
9917 10063 10087 10225	Feb 90 Aug 90 Sep 90 Sep 90	Orkney Inverness Orkney W. Ross	A J J J	F F F	9.41 0.09 0.39 0.43	0.13 0.03 0.06 0.07	5.95 0.21 4.60 0.97	27.41 8.79 9.56 11.26
Hobby	(Falco sul	bbuteo)						
9907 10024 10224	Sep 89 Jul 90 Aug 90	Kent Northants Inverness	J J A	M F F	0.95 0.06 0.95	0.03 ND 0.30	0.50 0.35 3.44	1.21 0.60 3.72
Goshawł	( <u>Accipi</u>	ter gentilis)						
9997	Apr 90		A	F	0.14	ND	0.05	ND
Golden	Eagle ( <u>A</u>	quila chrysaet	<u>:05</u> )					
10170	Nov 90	Bute	J	F	ND	ND	0.04	0.52
Long-ea	ared Owl	( <u>Asio otus</u> )						
9948 9878 10230 10035 10108 10276 10183 10193 10198 10241 10248	90 Jan 90 Feb 90 May 90 Sep 90 Nov 90 Dec 90 Dec 90 Jan 91 Jan 91	N. Ireland Ayrshire Ross-shire Norfolk N. Ireland Norfolk Dorset Kirkcuds. Sussex Angus	J A A J J J J J J J J J	MFFMMFFFF	7.62 ND 0.26 0.81 0.12 0.54 0.18 0.08 ND 7.48 0.08	1.23 ND 0.03 0.04 ND 0.08 0.05 0.02 ND 1.12 ND	19.44 0.47 1.02 1.58 0.52 1.02 0.35 0.94 0.27 6.76 0.71	4.21 0.09 0.60 0.77 0.33 0.59 0.85 0.56 0.06 1.61 0.32
Short-e	eared Owl	(Asio flammeu	ls)					
9921 9955 10059	Feb 90 Mar 90 Aug 90	Cheshire Devon Berwick	J J J	M F F	0.02 4.57 0.31	ND 0.64 ND	0.64 6.88 5.63	0.62 1.82 ND
Little	Owl (Athe	ene noctua)						
9986 10014 10148 10220	May 90 Jul 90 Nov 90 Jan 91	Middx Sussex Cambs Worcs	A A A A	M F M M	0.04 0.26 0.11 3.43	0.04 ND 0.03 ND	0.60 0.26 0.16 0.39	0.63 0.27 0.66 0.32

Continued.....5

	9990		90	Lincs	J	F	0.16	0.05	1.74	2.49	
	9906	Feb	90	Surrey	А	М	ND	ND	0.20	7.78	
	9942	Feb	90	Aberdeens	А	F	0.29	NS	0.03	2.88	
	9941	Mar		Dyfed	J	F	0.19	0.12	0.84	9.63	
	9969	Apr	90	Dyfed	J	М	0.61	0.02	3.00	16.06	
	9970	Apr	90	Cambs	A	М	0.87	0.08	1.63	10.54	
	10007	May	90	Worcs	А	F	8.76	4.67	23.96	37.14	
	10001	Jun	90	Carmarthens	J	F	0.81	0.09	4.62	19.82	
	10004	Jun		Lincs	А	М	0.68	0.34	1.27	7.86	
	10006	Jun	90	Dyfed	А	М	0.02	0.02	0.20	12.51	
	10012	Jul	90	Middx	J	М	0.75	0.27	5.57	60.12	
	10023	Jul	90	Warwicks	J	М	0.06	0.07	0.77	8.72	
	10038	Aug	90	Dyfed	J	F	0.06	ND	ND	1.82	
	10055	Aug	90	Norfolk	А	М	8.41	0.24	16.76	44.12	
	10060	Aug	90	Dyfed	J	М	0.08	0.02	0.11	4.39	
	10085	Sep	90	Cambs	J	F	1.03	0.25	1.38	14.45	
	10089	Sep	90	Powys	J	М	1.10	0.33	3.71	11.23	
	10123	Oct	90	Huntingdons	J	F	0.11	0.04	0.16	10.63	
	10168	Nov	90	Lincs	J	М	0.03	ND	ND	11.06	
	10217	Dec	90	Aberdeens	А	F	11.46	0.31	12.31	39.84	
	10199	Jan	91	Cambs	J	М	0.04	0.06	0.05	4.06	
	10200	Jan	91	Cambs	J	F	0.08	0.11	0.26	8.95	
	10209	Jan	91	Dyfed	J	М	ND	ND	ND	3.01	
	10213	Jan	91	Ayrshire	J	F	1.50	0.08	0.42	28.20	
	10234	Jan	91	Ross-shire	J	F	7.58	0.31	15.62	43.55	
	10235	Jan	91	Herts	А	М	0.10	0.05	0.37	15.94	
	10264	Feb	91	Gwynedd	J	F	1.23	0.09	1.04	4.01	
	10266	Feb	91	Cambs	J	М	0.09	0.06	0.03	6.60	
	10267	Feb	91	Worcs	J	М	11.22	0.79	3.81	46.17	
	10273	Feb	91	Co Durham	J	F	3.94	0.18	15.26	45.94	
	10274	Feb	91	Ayrshire	J	F	0.24	0.12	0.57	5.92	
	10279	Feb	91	Glamorgans	J	М	0.88	0.12	1.16	10.25	
	10281	Feb	91	Yorkshire	J	F	0.78	0.47	9.67	10.33	
	10287	Mar	91	Lincs	А	М	3.46	2.25	78.71	12.11	
,	Great C	reste	ed Gro	ebe ( <u>Podiceps</u>	cris	statı	15)				
	9946	Mar		Notts	J	М	0.05	ND	0.04	3.02	
	9951	Mar		Lancs	J	М	0.42	0.08	1.79	14.66	
	9999	Jun	90	Wilts	А	М	ND	ND	2.62	1.61	
	Kingfis	her (	Alce	do atthis)							
	10000	<b>T</b> 7	0.0	<b>a</b>	_						
	10022	Jul		Somerset	J	"F	0.12	0.89	0.50	1.80	
	10111	Sep		Powys	J	М	0.10	ND	ND	1.06	
	10116	Oct		Staffs	J	M	0.21	0.35	0.37	1.33	
	10117	Oct		Staffs	A	F	0.90	0.61	0.78	0.52	
	10176	Nov		Argyll	A	F	0.14	0.52	0.40	2.76	
	10268		91	Sussex	A	F	4.50	3.86	15.88	5.52	
	10269 10270	Feb		Cambs	A	М	6.73	0.33	1.30	1.76	
	10270	Feb	31	Northants	A	М	2.24	0.52	7.44	4.46	

Heron (Ardea cinerea)

	pp'-DDE	HEOD	PCBs	Hg
Kestrel		10		
	0.30 31 0.22 - 0.41	31	31	31
Sparrowhawk				
Mean N Range within 1 SE	0.99 72 0.79 - 1.24	0.12 72 0.10 - 0.14	1.49 72 1.24 - 1.79	1.75 72 0.51 - 2.02
Merlin				
Mean N Range within 1 SE	0.61 4 0.23 - 1.63	0.07 4 0.05 - 0.09	1.54 4 0.71 - 3.34	12.69 4 9.77 - 16.49
Heron				
Mean N Range within 1 SE	20	0.07 20 0.05 - 0.11	20	20
Kingfisher				
Mean N Range within 1 SE	5	0.25 5 0.11 - 0.57	5	5
Great-crested Grebe				
Mean N Range within 1 SE	0.06 3 0.02 - 0.18	0.02 3 0.01 - 0.04	0.57 3 0.15 - 2.18	4.15 3 2.15 - 8.00

Table 2. Geometric mean levels of pollutants in the various species in Table 1, for 1990 specimens only.

	snown. Minus va.		decrease from 19	
	pp'-DDE	HEOD	PCBs	Нд
Kestrel	t <sub>85</sub> =0.57	t <sub>85</sub> =-0.56	t <sub>85</sub> =-7.39***	t <sub>85</sub> =1.69
Sparrowhawk	t <sub>147</sub> =-1.13	t <sub>147</sub> =0.004	t <sub>147</sub> =-6.59***	t <sub>147</sub> =14.42***
Merlin	t <sub>10</sub> =-0.73	t <sub>10</sub> =-0.01	t <sub>10</sub> =-8.42***	t <sub>10</sub> =31.76***
Kingfisher	t <sub>9</sub> =-0.20	t <sub>9</sub> =0.10	t <sub>9</sub> =-15.29***	t <sub>9</sub> =1.74
Heron	t <sub>35</sub> =0.39	t <sub>35</sub> =0.13	t <sub>35</sub> =-3.98***	t <sub>35</sub> =25.63***

Table 3. Comparison of geometric mean residue levels (log values) from birds collected in 1989 and 1990; t-values are shown. Minus values indicate a decrease from 1989.

Notes: Zero values were taken as 0.01 for all residues.

Significance values \* = P<0.05 \*\* = P<0.01 \*\*\* = P<0.001

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT T07061f5 Annual report to Nature Conservancy Council

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 2 Organochlorines and mercury in peregrine eggs, 1990

I NEWTON, A ASHER, P FREESTONE

Monks Wood Experimental Station Abbots Ripton Huntingdon Cambs PE17 2LS



## 2 ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN PEREGRINE EGGS, 1990

### 2.1 Introduction

The findings from all peregrine eggs analysed between 1961 and 1986 have recently been summarised in Newton et al (1989); those from eggs analysed in 1987 and 1989 are given in previous reports in this series, and those from eggs analysed in 1990 are given in Table 4. Other peregrine eggs from these years are awaiting analysis at the Glasgow University Veterinary School, and are outwith our programme.

## 2.2 Results

Perhaps as a result of the warm dry weather, it seems to have been an exceptionally good year for Peregrine breeding in 1990, and eggs from only four clutches were received at Monks Wood. All contained only low levels of contaminants (Table 4). HEOD was detected in only one.

# 2.3 Reference

NEWTON, I., BOGAN, J.A. & HAAS, M.B. 1989. Organochlorines and mercury in British Peregrine eggs. Ibis 131; 355-376.

			_							
Egg No.	Year	County	Shell index	pp'-DDE	HEOD	PCBs	Hg			
NORTHERN ENGLAND										
E4430 E4444	1990	Lancs Cumbria	1.78 1.64	0.20 1.09	ND 0.03	0.07 0.30	0.38 0.33			
CENTRAI	L AND EA	STERN HIGHL	ANDS							
E4393 E4411	1990	Argyll Grampian	1.84 2.08	ND 0.20	ND ND	ND 0.58	3.16 0.49			

Table 4. Residue levels (organochlorine ppm wet weight; mercury ppm dry weight) and shell-indices for Peregrine eggs analysed in 1990. ND=none detected.

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT T07061f5 Annual report to Nature Conservancy Council

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 3 Organochlorines and mercury in merlin eggs, 1990

I NEWTON, A ASHER, P FREESTONE

Monks Wood Experimental Station Abbots Ripton Huntingdon Cambs PE17 2LS



## 3 ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN MERLIN EGGS, 1990

## 3.1 Introduction

The findings from most previous analyses of merlin eggs were given in Newton & Haas (1988), those from 1987-1989 in previous reports in this series, while those from 1990 are summarised in Table 5.

## 3.2 Results

The results from these additional 29 merlin eggs serve to confirm a continuing high contamination of British merlins with organochlorines and mercury. PCBs were present at more than 20 ppm (wet weight) in several eggs and, as in previous years, Hg was present at high level (3.9-13.7 ppm in dry weight) in eggs from the Northern Isles.

## 3.3 Reference

NEWTON, I. & HAAS, M.B. 1988. Pollutants in Merlin eggs and their effects on breeding. Brit. Birds 81: 258-269.

Table 5.	in 19	990.	Organ	and shell indic ochlorines expr mercury as ppm	essed as ppr	n in wet weight	
	C=clu	utch	size;	B=brood size;	ND=non dete	ected	
County	С	в	Shell Index	pp'-DDE	HEOD	PCBs	Hg
NORTHERN 1	ENGLAN	<u>1D</u>					
1990							
Derbyshire Durham Durham Durham Durham Durham Durham Durham Durham Yorkshire Yorkshire	e 3 5 4 5 4 - - 5	0 0 1 2 1 1 - - 4	1.20 1.22 0.97 1.14 1.04 1.11 1.18 1.10 1.10 1.24	1.46(26.11) 4.69(60.28) 7.14(89.79) 6.36(88.31) 5.74(105.60) 2.04(70.42) 3.45(51.16) 3.47(69.24) 3.55(79.39) 5.76(94.95) 1.64(19.16) 2.88(82.17)	0.29(5.27) 0.92(31.88) 0.48(7.06) 0.41(8.14) 0.44(9.77) 0.37(6.02) 0.24(2.82)	5.65(72.56) 7.25(91.28) 35.06(486.65) 2.78(51.16) 7.17(247.47)	0.98 4.95 2.22 2.07 3.02 3.49 2.00 2.54 2.01 2.92 0.63 1.70
GALLOWAY A	AND SC	OUTH	ERN UPL	ANDS			
1990							
Lothians Lothians D & G D & G D & G D & G	5 4 - - -	4 2 - -	1.15 1.22 1.18 1.04	6.09(85.68) 5.82(69.91) 13.96(77.41) 4.91(63.57)	0.42(5.91) 1.46(17.58) 3.36(18.61) 1.12(14.53)	16.82(333.97) 11.94(167.99) 11.21(134.62) 28.83(159.85) 17.55(227.25) 5.66(91.75)	2.33
NORTHERN	ISLES						
1989							
Shetland Shetland Shetland Shetland	4  	2  	1.08 1.17 1.11 1.34	2.11( 50.50) 1.95( 36.62)	0.24(7.13) 0.28(6.78) 0.33(6.27) 0.24(4.80)	2.34(55.84) 7.58(142.38)	5.16 3.87 8.76 6.29
1990							
Orkney Orkney Orkney Shetland Shetland Shetland Shetland			1.11 1.09 1.19 1.10 1.06 1.17	1.27(19.53) 0.92(16.99) 1.15(22.12) 1.22(10.03) 0.73(16.58)	0.20( 3.64) 0.43( 8.18) 0.22( 1.80)	0.99(15.15) 1.26(23.27) 23.31(504.34) 5.14(42.16) 1.89(42.90)	9.63 4.50 13.73 8.16 5.73 7.27 6.14

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT T07061f5 Annual report to Nature Conservancy Council

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 4 Organochlorines and mercury in golden eagle eggs, 1990

I NEWTON, A ASHER, I WYLLIE

Monks Wood Experimental Station Abbots Ripton Huntingdon Cambs PE17 2LS



## 4 ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN GOLDEN EAGLE EGGS, 1990

## 4.1 Introduction

The findings from earlier analyses of golden eagle eggs were given in the previous report in this series, and in published form in Newton & Galbraith (1991). The results for eggs analysed subsequently (1987-90) are summarised in Table 6.

## 4.2 Results

Recent analyses serve to confirm the low levels of contamination found in recent years in eagle eggs from inland districts (Table 6). All results were well within the range of previous values. Unfortunately, despite continuing requests, no coastal eggs were obtained in 1990.

## 4.3 Reference

Newton I. & Galbraith, A.E. 1991. Organochlorines and mercury in the eggs of Golden Eagles *Aquila chrysaetos* from Scotland. Ibis 133: 115-120.

Table 6. Residue levels (organochlorine ppm wet weight; mercury ppm dry weight) and shell-indices for Golden Eagle eggs 1987-1990. All the eggs received were from inland sites.

**************************************						
County		Shell index	HEOD	DDE	PCBs	Нд
1987						
Angus		•	0.19	ND	0.47	ND
1988						
D. & G. D. & G. Northern End Northern End Northern End Northern End	yland gland	2.90 3.53 3.40 3.14	0.14 0.13 0.22 0.22 0.15 0.14	0.11 ND 0.11 ND 0.12 0.30	0.49 0.87 0.49 0.49 1.91 2.92	ND ND ND ND 0.07
1990	9 - 4114	3 • 1 1	V • I I	0.00	2 • 7 6	0.07
Northern Eng Northern Eng		2.82 3.21	0.13 0.12	0.20 0.87	1.74 2.64	0.81 0.32

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT T07061f5 Annual report to Nature Conservancy Council

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 5 Organochlorines and mercury in gannet eggs, 1990

I NEWTON, A ASHER, P FREESTONE

Monks Wood Experimental Station Abbots Ripton Huntingdon Cambs PE17 2LS

## 5 ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN GANNET EGGS, 1990

## 5.1 Introduction

The findings from all gannet eggs collected during the period 1971-87 were summarised in Newton et al (1989), while those from ten further eggs from Ailsa Craig (1989) were given in our report of last year. The findings for eggs from three colonies sampled in 1990 are summarised in Table 7.

#### 5.2 Results

At all three colonies the levels of all contaminants were generally low, but high PCB levels (>10 ppm in wet weight) were found in single eggs from Bass Rock and St Kilda. The geometric mean levels of PCBs and mercury differed significantly between all three colonies, with PCBs highest at Bass Rock and lowest at St Kilda and mean Hg highest at St Kilda and lowest at Bass Rock (Table 7).

Compared with previous samples from the same colonies, the geometic mean level of DDE had increased significantly at Bass Rock (1987 v. 1990); the geometic mean Hg level had increased at Ailsa Craig (1987 v. 1990 and 1989 v. 1990) and decreased at Bass Rock (1987 v. 1990) and St Kilda (1987 v. 1990); while the mean shell-index had declined at Ailsa Craig (1987 v. 1990) and 1989 v. 1990) and Bass Rock (1987 v. 1990), and increased at St Kilda (1987 v. 1990). It is hard to know what biological significance to attach to these year-to-year changes based on small samples.

### 5.3 Reference

NEWTON, I., HAAS, M.B. & FREESTONE, P. 1990. Trends in organochlorine and mercury levels in gannet eggs. Environ. Pollut. 63: 1-12.

(ppm dry	of organochlorines ( weight) in the eggs ND=None detected.			
Colony	Shell-index pp'-DDE	HEOD	PCBs	Нд
AILSA CRAIG	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.18 0.41 0.29 0.18 0.17 0.12 0.17 0.10 0.35 0.14	2.32 7.89 4.22 1.67 1.89 1.03 1.58 0.66 3.54 2.25	1.94 1.45 1.61 2.28 4.48 1.97 2.09 1.52 2.47 1.97
Mean* SD Range within 1 SE	2.98 0.09 0.19 0.26 2.92-3.05 0.08-0.11	0.19 0.20 0.17-0.22		
BASS ROCK	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.34 0.23 0.17 0.36 0.39 0.25 0.26 0.47 0.22 0.20	3.65 2.52 3.56 3.51 19.42 2.36 1.74 4.68 3.12 3.15	1.61 1.25 1.08 2.09 2.15 1.41 1.19 1.53 1.02 1.19
Mean* SD Range within 1 SE	3.07 0.13 0.18 0.11 3.01-3.13 0.12-0.15	0.14	0.28	1.41 0.11 1.30-1.53
ST. KILDA	2.690.022.760.022.96ND3.070.112.930.143.050.053.050.032.830.043.25ND3.140.11	ND 0.04 ND 0.08 ND 0.04 ND 0.04 ND 0.11	11.41 0.21 0.13 0.44 0.95 0.60 0.13 0.16 ND 1.03	3.09 2.79 2.55 2.85 3.08 3.92 2.62 2.23 5.68 2.32
Mean* SD Range within 1 SE	2.97 0.04 0.17 0.42 2.92-3.03 0.03-0.05	0.02 0.42 0.02-0.03	0.33 0.79 0.19-0.59	3.00 0.12 2.75-3.27

\*Means: arithmetic for shell-index; geometric otherwise.

Zero values (ND) were taken as 0.01 for all residues

Differences in PCB and mercury levels between sites were significant in all cases  $\text{P}{<}0.001.$ 

	PCBs	Нд
Ailsa Craig v. Bass Rock	t <sub>18</sub> =11.41	t <sub>18</sub> =11.59
Ailsa Craig v. St. Kilda	t <sub>18</sub> =6.74	t <sub>18</sub> =15.99
Bass Rock v. St.Kilda	t <sub>18</sub> =12.46	t <sub>18</sub> =30.51

Table 8. Changes in geometric mean residue levels (log values) and shell index (arithmetic mean) in 1990 gannet eggs compared with previous eggs from the same sites (1987 for all sites, plus 1989 for Ailsa Craig). + = increase; - = decline. *P<0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001				
DDE	HEOD	PCBs	Hg	Shell Index
<u>Ailsa Cra</u> (1987 v	<u>ig</u> • 1990)			
t <sub>17</sub> = +1 <u>Ailsa Cra</u> (1989 v	ig	t <sub>18</sub> = +0.26	t <sub>18</sub> = +6.20***	t <sub>18</sub> =-14.39***
Bass Rock		t <sub>18</sub> = +0.72	t <sub>18</sub> = +6.25***	t <sub>18</sub> =-12.30***
20	$.48** t_{18} = -1.81$	t <sub>18</sub> = +0.95	t <sub>18</sub> = -4.88*	*** t <sub>18</sub> =-14.31***
<u>St. Kilda</u> (1987 v t <sub>16</sub> = +0	. 1990) .30 t <sub>16</sub> = -1.04	t <sub>16</sub> = -4.52*	** t <sub>16</sub> =-15.67*	*** t <sub>16</sub> =+19.18***

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT T07061f5 Annual report to Nature Conservancy Council

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 6 Rodenticides in barn owls

I NEWTON, A ASHER, I WYLLIE, P FREESTONE

Monks Wood Experimental Station Abbots Ripton Huntingdon Cambs PE17 2LS

## 6 RODENTICIDES IN BARN OWLS

#### 6.1 Introduction

The aim of this work was to screen barn owl carcasses for residues of 'second generation' rodenticides. The carcasses were supplied by members of the public, and included birds which had died from various causes, mainly accidents. The chemicals of interest included difenacoum, bromadiolone, brodifacoum and flocoumafen. Results from 145 birds obtained from March 1984 to March 1989 were given in our report of 1988, (later published as Newton *et al.* 1990); and results from 30 additional birds were given in our report for last year, while those from 50 analysed since then are summarised in Table 9.

## 6.2 Results

Residues were detected in 14 of the 50 birds examined, more than twice the frequency found in previous specimens. However, this apparent increase is probably in part the result of improved sensitivity of analysis. A change of detector from a Varian Spectrofluometer to a Shimadzu spectrofluorophotometer has enabled us to detect levels a half of previous limits. In earlier samples the lowest limit of detection was about 0.005  $\mu$ g for difenacoum, 0.008  $\mu$ g for brodifacoum, 0.01 for flocoumafen, and 0.02  $\mu$ g for bromadiolone (a mass of 0.01  $\mu$ g was equivalent to a concentration of 0.01-0.02  $\mu$ g<sup>-1</sup>, depending on sample weight). On the new machine, the limits have fallen to around 0.0025, 0.004, 0.005 and 0.01  $\mu$ g for the four compounds respectively.

On the previous limits, at least 5 of the 9 current birds containing difenacoum or brodifacoum would have been detected, and only 2-4 of the 9 birds containing bromadiolone. It was surprising that bromadiolone was not detected in any of the earlier birds, considering that it is the most commonly-used of the four chemicals involved. We have no explanation for this anomaly. None of the birds contained brodifacoum or difenacoum at a level close to lethal, but comparable information on the levels of bromadiolone associated with fatalities is not yet available. The absence of flocoumafen is consistent with previous findings, and may reflect the relatively recent introduction of this chemical.

#### 6.3 Reference

NEWTON, I., HAAS, M.B. & FREESTONE, P. 1990. Trends in organochlorine and mercury levels in gannet eggs. Environ. Pollut. 63: 1-12.

Table 9. Levels of rodenticides (ppm in wet wt) in the livers of 50 Barn Owls (<u>Tyto alba</u>) analysed in 1990. ND=None detected.

J=juvenile in first year; A=adult, other than first year; M=male; F=female; brod=brodifacoum; difen=difenacoum; brom=bromadialone; floc=flocoumafen.

9619   Jun 89   Suffolk   A   M   ND   ND   NI     9703   Sep 89   Suffolk   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9704   Sep 89   Suffolk   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9704   Sep 89   Suffolk   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9751   Oct 89   Northants   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9770   Oct 89   Sussex   A   F   ND   ND   NI     9771   Oct 89   Sussex   J   M   ND   NI     9773   Nov 89   Salop   J   M   ND   NI     9777   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   F   ND   NI     9778   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   F   ND   NI     9787   Nov 89   Sussex   J   F   ND   NI     9790   Nov 89   Sussex	n floc
9704 Sep 89 Suffolk J M ND ND NI   9751 Oct 89 Northants J F ND ND NI   9770 Oct 89 Sussex A F ND ND NI   9771 Oct 89 Yorks J M ND ND NI   9773 Nov 89 Salop J M ND ND NI   9777 Nov 89 Salop J M ND ND NI   9777 Nov 89 Norfolk J F ND ND NI   9778 Nov 89 Norfolk J M ND ND NI   9787 Nov 89 Cambs A M ND ND NI   9790 Nov 89 Sussex J F ND ND NI   9796 Oct 89 Dyfed J M ND ND NI   9805 Nov 89 IOM J M ND 0.0315 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
9751 Oct 89 Northants J F ND ND NI   9770 Oct 89 Sussex A F ND ND NI   9771 Oct 89 Yorks J M ND ND NI   9773 Nov 89 Salop J M ND ND NI   9777 Nov 89 Salop J M ND ND NI   9777 Nov 89 Norfolk J F ND ND NI   9778 Nov 89 Norfolk J F ND ND NI   9787 Nov 89 Cambs A M ND ND NI   9790 Nov 89 Sussex J F ND ND NI   9796 Oct 89 Dyfed J M ND ND NI   9805 Nov 89 IOM J M ND 0.0315 NI	
9770   Oct 89   Sussex   A   F   ND   ND   NI     9771   Oct 89   Yorks   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9773   Nov 89   Salop   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9773   Nov 89   Salop   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9777   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9778   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9787   Nov 89   Cambs   A   M   ND   ND   NI     9790   Nov 89   Sussex   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9796   Oct 89   Dyfed   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9805   Nov 89   IOM   J   M   ND   0.0315   NI	
9771   Oct 89   Yorks   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9773   Nov 89   Salop   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9773   Nov 89   Salop   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9777   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9778   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9787   Nov 89   Cambs   A   M   ND   ND   NI     9790   Nov 89   Sussex   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9796   Oct 89   Dyfed   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9805   Nov 89   IOM   J   M   ND   0.0315   NI	
9773   Nov 89   Salop   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9777   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9777   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9778   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9787   Nov 89   Cambs   A   M   ND   ND   NI     9790   Nov 89   Sussex   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9796   Oct 89   Dyfed   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9805   Nov 89   IOM   J   M   ND   0.0315   NI	
9777   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9778   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9787   Nov 89   Cambs   A   M   ND   ND   NI     9790   Nov 89   Sussex   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9796   Oct 89   Dyfed   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9805   Nov 89   IOM   J   M   ND   0.0315   NI	
9778   Nov 89   Norfolk   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9787   Nov 89   Cambs   A   M   ND   ND   NI     9790   Nov 89   Sussex   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9796   Oct 89   Dyfed   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9805   Nov 89   IOM   J   M   ND   0.0315   NI	
9787   Nov 89   Cambs   A   M   ND   ND   NI     9790   Nov 89   Sussex   J   F   ND   ND   NI     9796   Oct 89   Dyfed   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9805   Nov 89   IOM   J   M   ND   0.0315   NI	
9790   Nov 89   Sussex   J   F   ND   ND   ND     9796   Oct 89   Dyfed   J   M   ND   ND   NI     9805   Nov 89   IOM   J   M   ND   0.0315   NI	
9796   Oct 89   Dyfed   J   M   ND   NI     9805   Nov 89   IOM   J   M   ND   0.0315   NI	
9805 Nov 89 IOM J M ND 0.0315 NI	
9807 Nov 89 Lancs J F ND ND NI	
9809 Oct 89 Cheshire J M ND ND ND	
9821 Dec 89 Suffolk A F ND ND NI	
9823 Nov 89 Norfolk A D ND ND NI	
9824 Dec 89 Cardigans J M ND ND NI	
9828 Dec 89 Bucks J F ND ND NI	
9830 Dec 89 Oxon A F ND ND NI	D ND
9831 Dec 89 Cardigans J M ND ND ND	) ND
9841 Sep 89 Norfolk J M ND ND NI	
9842 Sep 89 Norfolk J F ND ND NI	
9851 Nov 89 D. & G. J M ND ND ND	
9861 Jan 90 Selkirk J M ND ND 0.0	
9863 Jan 90 Ayrshire J M ND ND 0.0	
9867 Dec 88 Devon J F ND ND 0.0	
9868 - Devon J M ND ND ND	
9875 Jan 90 Dorset J F ND 0.0068 0.0	
9882Feb90LincsAFNDNDND9890Feb90E. LothianJFNDNDND	
9892   89   Jersey   A   F   ND   0.0310   0.0     9910   Feb   90   Humberside   J   F   ND   ND   NI	
9911 Feb 90 Humberside J F ND 0.0197 0.0	
9913 Feb 90 Guernsey A M ND ND 0.2	
9914 Feb 90 Hants J M 0.0147 0.0122 0.0	
9918 Feb 90 Powys A F ND ND N	
9922 Feb 90 Gwynedd J M ND ND N	
9923 Feb 90 Lincs J M ND 0.0111 N	
9924 Mar 90 Kent A M ND ND N	D ND
9926 Nov 89 Norfolk J F ND 0.0135 N	) ND
9927 Mar 90 Gwynedd J M ND 0.0292 N	) ND
9928 Mar 90 Powys J M ND ND N	
9930 Mar 90 Kent J M ND ND N	
9931 Feb 90 Norfolk A F ND 0.0123 N	
9934 Mar 90 Dorset A F ND ND 0.1	
9935 Mar 90 Oxon A F ND ND N	
9936 Mar 90 Herefords J F ND ND N	
9943 Mar 90 Aberdeens J F ND ND N	
9947 Mar 90 Oxon J M ND ND N	D ND

**ITE** has administrative headquarters north and south, and the geographical distribution of its 250 staff in six Research Stations throughout Britain allows efficient use of resources for regional studies and provides an understanding of local ecological and land use characteristics.

This report is an official document prepared under contract between the customer and the Natural Environment Research Council. It should not be quoted without the permission of both the Institute of Terrestrial Ecology and the customer.

# ITE NORTH

#### Edinburgh Research Station

(Admin HQ for ITE North) Bush Estate Penicuik Midlothian EH26 0QB Tel: 031 445 4343; Telex 72579 Fax: 031 445 3943

#### **Banchory Research Station**

Hill of Brathens Glassel Banchory Kincardineshire AB31 4BY Tel: 033 02 3434 Fax: 033 02 3303

#### Merlewood Research Station

Grange-over-Sands Cumbria LA11 6JU Tel: 05395 32264; Telex 65102 Fax: 05395 34705

# ITE SOUTH

## Monks Wood Experimental Station

(Admin HQ for ITE South) Abbots Ripton Huntingdon Cambs PE17 2LS Tel: 048 73 381; Telex 32416 Fax: 048 73 467

### **Bangor Research Unit**

University College of North Wales Deiniol Road Bangor Gwynedd LL57 2UP Tel: 0248 370045; Telex 61224 Fax: 0248 355365

**Furzebrook Research Station** Wareham Dorset BH20 5AS Tel: 0929 551518 Fax: 0929 551087

The ITE Research Marketing Officers for ITE North and South are based at Banchory and Monks Wood, respectively.

